

### भारतीय राष्ट्रीय राजमार्ग प्राधिकरण

(सड़क परिवहन और राजमार्ग मंत्रालय, भारत सरकार)

### **National Highways Authority of India**

(Ministry of Road Transport & Highways, Govt. of India)



परियोजना कार्यान्वयन इकाई झाँसी, पता:मकान संख्या-एन 43/1 ए.राजकीय महिला पॉलीटेविनक के सामने,म्वालियर रोड,झाँसी 284003 (उ०प्र०) Project Implementation Unit Jhansi, Address: House No. N 43/1 A, Infront of Govt. Mahila Polytechnic, Gwalior Road, Jhansi-284003 (U.P.) दूरभाष/Phone : 0510-2980967, ईमेल/Email : jha@nhai.org, piujhansi@gmail.com वेवसाईट/Website : http:\\www.nhai.gov.in

NHAI/12013/1/PIU-Jhansi/OFC- Km. 71.96-75.70 /2024/2930

Dated

19, February, 2024

### **Invitation of Public Comments**

Request for grant of Right of Way Permission to lay Optical Fiber Cable along the from Km. 71.96 to Km. 75.70 (LHS) (Asaupura Village, Kari Pahari, Bansi in Lalitpur District) total length 3.74 km along NH-44 Jhansi -Lalitpur Section in District-Lalitpur in the state of Uttar Pradesh..

The Authorized Signatory M/s.Bharat Sanchar Nigam Limited (A Government of India Enterprises), Jhansi has submitted the proposal for grant of Right of Way Permission to lay Optical Fibre Cable along the route from Km. 71.96 to Km. 75.70 - Total Length 3.74 Km on NH-44, Jhansi-Lalitpur Section in District-Lalitpur (U.P.).

- As per the guidelines, issued by the Ministry vide OM No. RW/NH-33044/29/2015/S&R (R) dated 22.11.2016, the application shall be put out in the public domain for 30 days for seeking claims and objections (on grounds of public convenience, safety and general public interest).
- In view of the above, comments of the public on the above application is invited to the below 3. mentioned address, which should reach by this office within 30 days from the date of publication beyond which no comments shall be entertained.

The Project Director National Highway Authority of India Project Implementation Unit House No. N 43/1 A, Infront of Govt. Mahila Polytechnic, Gwalior Road, Jhansi - 284003 (U.P.)

This is issued with the direction of NHAI, RO, (West)-UP, Lucknow.

Encl: As Above.

(Sunil Kumar Jain)

General Manager (Tech) cum Project Director

Copy to:-

Web Admin, NHAI-HQ- with request for uploading on the NHAI website. 1.

The Technical Director, NIC, Transport Bhawan, New Delhi- with request for uploading on the 2. Ministry's website.

The Authorized Signatory, M/s. Bharat Sanchar Nigam Limited ( A Government of India 3. Enterprises), Office of the General Manager, Telecom District- Jhansi for information.

The Regional Officer, NHAI, Regional Office, (West)-UP, Lucknow for information. 4.

क्षेत्रीय कार्यालय : पश्चिम (उ.प्र.), ३/२४८, विशाल खण्ड, गोमती नगर, लखनऊ-२२६०१० (उ.प्र.)

Regional Office: West (U.P.), 3/248, Vishal Khand, Gomti Nagar, Lucknow-226010 (U.P.)

मुख्यालय : जी 5-6, सेक्टर-10, द्वारका, नई दिल्ली - 110075

Head Office: Plot No.: G-5 & 6, Sector-10, Dwarka New Delhi - 110075

दूरभाष/Phone:

0522-4960291

फैक्स/Fax

0522-4950680

दूरभाष/Phone : 91-11-25074100/200

फैक्स/Fax :91-11-25093507/514

#### **CHECK-LIST**

Guidelines for Project Director for processing the proposal for laying of OFC cable etc. in the land along national Highways vested with NHAI

## Relevant circulars of Ministry of Road Transport and Highway

- circular no. RW/NH/33044/17/2000-S&R dated 29.9.2000 1)
- 2) circular No. NHAI/OEC/2k/Vol-II dated 7.11.2000
- 3) circular No. RW/NH/33044/17/2000/S&R dated 23.7.2003
- circular No.RW/NH/33044/27/2000-S&R(r)dated 23.03.2006 4)

# Check list for getting approval for laying of OF cable on NH land (to be filled by the PIU)

The permission for laying of OFC cable shall be considered for approval/rejection based on the ministry circular mentioned as above.

S.No.	ltem	Information /o	<del></del>
1	General information	Information/Status	Remarks
1.1	name and address of the applicant/agency	NH- <u>44</u>	
1.2	National Highway number	BSNL JHANSI	
1.3	state	44	
	-	Uttar pradesh	
1.4	Location	Asaupura Village to Bansi in	
1.5	(chainage in KM)	Lalitpur District	
1.6	Length in meters	71.96 Km to 75.700 Km	
		3740 M	
1.7	Width of available ROW	30M	
	(a) Left side from center line towards increasing chainage/km direction	30M	
	(b) Right side from center line towards increasing chainage/km direction	30M	
1.8	Proposal to lay the OFC cable	-	
	(a) Left side from center line towards increasing chainage/km direction	-	
	(b) Right side from center line towards increasing chainage/km direction	71.96 Km to 75.700 Km	
1.9	Proposal to acquire land	NA NA	
	(a) Left side from center line	NA	
	(b) Right side from center line		
1.1	Whether proposal is in the same side where land is not to be acquired	Yes	
	If not then where to lay the cable		
1.11	Details of already laid services if any, along the proposed route		
1.12	Number of lanes (2/4/6/8 lanes) existing	4	



1.13
1.14 Service road existing or not  If yes then which side  (a) Left side from center line  (b) Right side from center line  (c) Right side from center line  (b) Right side from center line  (c) Right side from center line  Whether proposal to lay cable is after the service road or between the service road and main carriageway  The permission for laying OFC shall be considered for approval/rejection  (i) where the ROW is more than 45 m then the duct cable shall be laid at the edge of right of way within the utility corridor of 2 m width, duly keeping in view the future widening.  (ii) where land is yet to be acquired for 4 laning and the position of new carriageway has been decided then the cable shall be laid at the edge of right of way within the utility corridor of 2m width, on that side of existing carriageway where extra land is not proposed to be acquired for 4 laning.  (iii) whether the widening plan for naning is not yet decided and available ROW is around 30m or less, a judicious decision would need to be taken for permitting the laying OF cable/duct. This could be within 1.5 m to 2m of utility corridor at the edge of existing ROW, duly keeping in view the possible
if yes then which side (a) Left side from center line (b) Right side from center line (c) Right side from center line (d) Right side from center line (e) Right side from center line (b) Right side from center line  Whether proposal to lay cable is after the service road or between the service road and main carriageway  The permission for laying OFC shall be considered for approval/rejection  (i) where the ROW is more than 45 m then the duct cable shall be laid at the edge of right of way within the utility corridor of 2 m width, duly keeping in view the future widening.  (ii) where land is yet to be acquired for 4 laning and the position of new carriageway has been decided then the cable shall be laid at the edge of right of way within the utility corridor of 2 m width, on that side of existing carriageway where extra land is not proposed to be acquired for 4 laning.  (iii) whether the widening plan for naning is not yet decided and available ROW is around 30m or less, a judicious decision would need to be taken for permitting the laying OF cable/duct. This could be within 1.5 m to 2m of utility corridor at the edge of existing ROW, duly keeping in view the possible
(a) Left side from center line (b) Right side from center line (c) Right side from center line (d) Right side from center line (e) Right side from center line (f) Right side from center line (g) Right side from center line (h) All Left side from center line
(b) Right side from center line  1.15 Proposed service road  (a) Left side from center line  (b) Right side from center line  (b) Right side from center line  Whether proposal to lay cable is after the service road or between the service road and main carriageway  The permission for laying OFC shall be considered for approval/rejection  (i) where the ROW is more than 45 m then the duct cable shall be laid at the edge of right of way within the utility corridor of 2 m width , duly keeping in view the future widening.  (ii) where land is yet to be acquired for 4 laning and the position of new carriageway has been decided then the cable shall be laid at the edge of right of way within the utility corridor of 2m width, on that side of existing carriageway where extra land is not proposed to be acquired for 4 laning.  (iii) whether the widening plan for naning is not yet decided and available ROW is around 30m or less , a judicious decision would need to be taken for permitting the laying OF cable/duct. This could be within 1.5 m to 2m of utility corridor at the edge of existing ROW , duly keeping in view the possible
1.15 Proposed service road  (a) Left side from center line  (b) Right side from center line  Whether proposal to lay cable is after the service road or between the service road and main carriageway  The permission for laying OFC shall be considered for approval/rejection  (i) where the ROW is more than 45 m then the duct cable shall be laid at the edge of right of way within the utility corridor of 2 m width , duly keeping in view the future widening.  (ii) where land is yet to be acquired for 4 laning and the position of new carriageway has been decided then the cable shall be laid at the edge of right of way within the utility corridor of 2m width, on that side of existing carriageway where extra land is not proposed to be acquired for 4 laning.  (iii) whether the widening plan for naning is not yet decided and available ROW is around 30m or less , a judicious decision would need to be taken for permitting the laying OF cable/duct. This could be within 1.5 m to 2m of utility corridor at the edge of existing ROW , duly keeping in view the possible
(a) Left side from center line (b) Right side from center line  Whether proposal to lay cable is after the service road or between the service road and main carriageway  The permission for laying OFC shall be considered for approval/rejection  (i) where the ROW is more than 45 m then the duct cable shall be laid at the edge of right of way within the utility corridor of 2 m width , duly keeping in view the future widening.  (ii) where land is yet to be acquired for 4 laning and the position of new carriageway has been decided then the cable shall be laid at the edge of right of way within the utility corridor of 2 m width, on that side of existing carriageway where extra land is not proposed to be acquired for 4 laning.  (iii) whether the widening plan for naning is not yet decided and available ROW is around 30m or less , a judicious decision would need to be taken for permitting the laying OF cable/duct. This could be within 1.5 m to 2 m of utility corridor at the edge of existing ROW , duly keeping in view the possible
(b) Right side from center line  Whether proposal to lay cable is after the service road or between the service road and main carriageway  The permission for laying OFC shall be considered for approval/rejection  (i) where the ROW is more than 45 m then the duct cable shall be laid at the edge of right of way within the utility corridor of 2 m width, duly keeping in view the future widening.  (ii) where land is yet to be acquired for 4 laning and the position of new carriageway has been decided then the cable shall be laid at the edge of right of way within the utility corridor of 2 m width, on that side of existing carriageway where extra land is not proposed to be acquired for 4 laning.  (iii) whether the widening plan for naning is not yet decided and available ROW is around 30m or less , a judicious decision would need to be taken for permitting the laying OF cable/duct. This could be within 1.5 m to 2m of utility corridor at the edge of existing ROW , duly keeping in view the possible
Whether proposal to lay cable is after the service road or between the service road and main carriageway  The permission for laying OFC shall be considered for approval/rejection  (i) where the ROW is more than 45 m then the duct cable shall be laid at the edge of right of way within the utility corridor of 2 m width , duly keeping in view the future widening.  (ii) where land is yet to be acquired for 4 laning and the position of new carriageway has been decided then the cable shall be laid at the edge of right of way within the utility corridor of 2m width, on that side of existing carriageway where extra land is not proposed to be acquired for 4 laning.  (iii) whether the widening plan for naning is not yet decided and available ROW is around 30m or less , a judicious decision would need to be taken for permitting the laying OF cable/duct. This could be within 1.5 m to 2m of utility corridor at the edge of existing ROW , duly keeping in view the possible
The permission for laying OFC shall be considered for approval/rejection  (i) where the ROW is more than 45 m then the duct cable shall be laid at the edge of right of way within the utility corridor of 2 m width , duly keeping in view the future widening.  (ii) where land is yet to be acquired for 4 laning and the position of new carriageway has been decided then the cable shall be laid at the edge of right of way within the utility corridor of 2 m width, on that side of existing carriageway where extra land is not proposed to be acquired for 4 laning.  (iii) whether the widening plan for naning is not yet decided and available ROW is around 30m or less , a judicious decision would need to be taken for permitting the laying OF cable/duct. This could be within 1.5 m to 2m of utility corridor at the edge of existing ROW , duly keeping in view the possible
The permission for laying OFC shall be considered for approval/rejection  (i) where the ROW is more than 45 m then the duct cable shall be laid at the edge of right of way within the utility corridor of 2 m width , duly keeping in view the future widening.  (ii) where land is yet to be acquired for 4 laning and the position of new carriageway has been decided then the cable shall be laid at the edge of right of way within the utility corridor of 2m width, on that side of existing carriageway where extra land is not proposed to be acquired for 4 laning.  (iii) whether the widening plan for naning is not yet decided and available ROW is around 30m or less , a judicious decision would need to be taken for permitting the laying OF cable/duct. This could be within 1.5 m to 2m of utility corridor at the edge of existing ROW , duly keeping in view the possible
(i) where the ROW is more than 45 m then the duct cable shall be laid at the edge of right of way within the utility corridor of 2 m width , duly keeping in view the future widening.  (ii) where land is yet to be acquired for 4 laning and the position of new carriageway has been decided then the cable shall be laid at the edge of right of way within the utility corridor of 2 m width, on that side of existing carriageway where extra land is not proposed to be acquired for 4 laning.  (iii) whether the widening plan for naning is not yet decided and available ROW is around 30m or less , a judicious decision would need to be taken for permitting the laying OF cable/duct. This could be within 1.5 m to 2m of utility corridor at the edge of existing ROW , duly keeping in view the possible
(i) where the ROW is more than 45 m then the duct cable shall be laid at the edge of right of way within the utility corridor of 2 m width, duly keeping in view the future widening.  (ii) where land is yet to be acquired for 4 laning and the position of new carriageway has been decided then the cable shall be laid at the edge of right of way within the utility corridor of 2m width, on that side of existing carriageway where extra land is not proposed to be acquired for 4 laning.  (iii) whether the widening plan for naning is not yet decided and available ROW is around 30m or less, a judicious decision would need to be taken for permitting the laying OF cable/duct. This could be within 1.5 m to 2m of utility corridor at the edge of existing ROW, duly keeping in view the possible
m width , duly keeping in view the future widening.  (ii) where land is yet to be acquired for 4 laning and the position of new carriageway has been decided then the cable shall be laid at the edge of right of way within the utility corridor of 2m width, on that side of existing carriageway where extra land is not proposed to be acquired for 4 laning.  (iii) whether the widening plan for naning is not yet decided and available ROW is around 30m or less , a judicious decision would need to be taken for permitting the laying OF nable/duct. This could be within 1.5 m to 2m of utility corridor at the edge of existing ROW , duly keeping in view the possible
m width , duly keeping in view the future widening.  (ii) where land is yet to be acquired for 4 laning and the position of new carriageway has been decided then the cable shall be laid at the edge of right of way within the utility corridor of 2m width, on that side of existing carriageway where extra land is not proposed to be acquired for 4 laning.  (iii) whether the widening plan for naning is not yet decided and available ROW is around 30m or less , a judicious decision would need to be taken for permitting the laying OF NA cable/duct. This could be within 1.5 m to 2m of utility corridor at the edge of existing ROW , duly keeping in view the possible
(ii) where land is yet to be acquired for 4 laning and the position of new carriageway has been decided then the cable shall be laid at the edge of right of way within the utility corridor of 2m width, on that side of existing carriageway where extra land is not proposed to be acquired for 4 laning.  (iii) whether the widening plan for naning is not yet decided and available ROW is around 30m or less, a judicious decision would need to be taken for permitting the laying OF NA cable/duct. This could be within 1.5 m to 2m of utility corridor at the edge of existing ROW, duly keeping in view the possible
(ii) where land is yet to be acquired for 4 laning and the position of new carriageway has been decided then the cable shall be laid at the edge of right of way within the utility corridor of 2m width, on that side of existing carriageway where extra land is not proposed to be acquired for 4 laning.  (iii) whether the widening plan for naning is not yet decided and available ROW is around 30m or less, a judicious decision would need to be taken for permitting the laying OF NA cable/duct. This could be within 1.5 m to 2m of utility corridor at the edge of existing ROW, duly keeping in view the possible
position of new carriageway has been decided then the cable shall be laid at the edge of right of way within the utility corridor of 2m width, on that side of existing carriageway where extra land is not proposed to be acquired for 4 laning.  (iii) whether the widening plan for naning is not yet decided and available ROW is around 30m or less, a judicious decision would need to be taken for permitting the laying OF cable/duct. This could be within 1.5 m to 2m of utility corridor at the edge of existing ROW, duly keeping in view the possible
position of new carriageway has been decided then the cable shall be laid at the edge of right of way within the utility corridor of 2m width, on that side of existing carriageway where extra land is not proposed to be acquired for 4 laning.  (iii) whether the widening plan for naning is not yet decided and available ROW is around 30m or less, a judicious decision would need to be taken for permitting the laying OF cable/duct. This could be within 1.5 m to 2m of utility corridor at the edge of existing ROW, duly keeping in view the possible
corridor of 2m width, on that side of existing carriageway where extra land is not proposed to be acquired for 4 laning.  (iii) whether the widening plan for naning is not yet decided and available ROW is around 30m or less, a judicious decision would need to be taken for permitting the laying OF cable/duct. This could be within 1.5 m to 2m of utility corridor at the edge of existing ROW, duly keeping in view the possible
corridor of 2m width, on that side of existing carriageway where extra land is not proposed to be acquired for 4 laning.  (iii) whether the widening plan for naning is not yet decided and available ROW is around 30m or less, a judicious decision would need to be taken for permitting the laying OF cable/duct. This could be within 1.5 m to 2m of utility corridor at the edge of existing ROW, duly keeping in view the possible
(iii) whether the widening plan for naning is not yet decided and available ROW is around 30m or less, a judicious decision would need to be taken for permitting the laying OF cable/duct. This could be within 1.5 m to 2m of utility corridor at the edge of existing ROW, duly keeping in view the possible
(iii) whether the widening plan for naning is not yet decided and available ROW is around 30m or less, a judicious decision would need to be taken for permitting the laying OF NA cable/duct. This could be within 1.5 m to 2m of utility corridor at the edge of existing ROW, duly keeping in view the possible
and available ROW is around 30m or less, a judicious decision would need to be taken for permitting the laying OF  cable/duct. This could be within 1.5 m to 2m of utility corridor at the edge of existing ROW, duly keeping in view the possible
and available ROW is around 30m or less, a judicious decision would need to be taken for permitting the laying OF  cable/duct. This could be within 1.5 m to 2m of utility corridor at the edge of existing ROW, duly keeping in view the possible
would need to be taken for permitting the laying OF cable/duct. This could be within 1.5 m to 2m of utility corridor at the edge of existing ROW, duly keeping in view the possible
cable/duct. This could be within 1.5 m to 2m of utility corridor at the edge of existing ROW, duly keeping in view the possible
at the edge of existing ROW, duly keeping in view the possible
at the edge of existing ROW, duly keeping in view the possible
l lwidoning plane
widening plans.
(iv) where ROW is restricted and adequate only to
accommodate the carriageway control and adequate only to
accommodate the carriageway, central verge, shoulders and  Yes
drains (e.g. highway in cutting through hilly/rolling terrain), the cable shall be laid clear of the drain.
(v) where laid strip for utility corridor cannot be conveniently
earmarked (available ROW restricted to the tage of the
earmarked (available ROW restricted to the toe of the embankment) for laving OF cable/ducts the permission may be
embankment) for laying OF cable/ducts, the permission may be refused.
one
No.
If crossing of the road involved
If YES, it shall be either encased in pipes or through structure  Or conduits specially built for that purpose  YES
or conducts specially built for that purpose
at the expense of the agency owning the line
a)whetner the existing drainage structures are allowed to
carry the utility pipeline NO



-	b)is it on aline passed in	
li .	b)is it on aline normal to the NH	YES
	c)What is the distance of crossing the utility	
	pipe (mes nom the existing structure	
	near the existing structures on the national highway.	NA
	d)The casing pipe carrying the utility line shall be of steel .cast iron pr reinforced cement constant.	
		NA
	srength and be large enough to permit ready withdrawl of carrier pipe/cable	NA
	Mention type of casing	
	e)Ends of the casing	HDPE Pipe
	e)Ends of the casing /Conduit pipe shall be sealed	
	from the outside.so that it doesnot act as a drainage patn	YES
		123
	f)The casing/conduit pipe should as minimum extend from	
	promite drain in cuts and toe of slope p file	
	g) The top of the casing /conduit nine, should be	
	atteast 1.2 meter below the surface of the road auticut.	
	being at least 0.3 meter below the drain	YES
	inverts	
	mention the proposed details	
	h)Mention the methodology proposed for crossing of road for	
	the proposed sewage /Gas pipe line	
	Crossing shall be by boring mostly 1 up a	
	.Crossing shall be by boring method HDD.speci <sub>IIv</sub> where the	HDD METHOD
	existing road pavement is of cement concrete or dense	
	bituminoLls concrete type.	
	i)The casing/conduit pipe shall be installed with an	
	even bearing throughout its length and in such a	
	manner as to prevent the formation of a water way	NA
	along it.	
	If so furnish a joint implementation programme to lay their	
1.2	respective ducts within stipulated time frame	NA
	If crossing of the road involved	
1.21	if Yes it shall only be through trench-less technology	NA
		No.
2	Documents/Drawing enclosed with the proposal	yes
	•	Enclosed
	Cross section showing the size of trench for open trenching	
	method (is it normal size of 1.65 m deep x 0.45 m wide) should	yes
2.1	not be greater than 1.2 m in width in multiple ducts	Enclosed
	Cross section showing the size of pit and location OF cable for	
2.2	HDD method	Yes
	-	
	Strip plan/ Route plan showing the OFC, chainage, width of	
		Yes
2.3	ROW, distance of proposed, cable from the edge of ROW,	
	important mile stone,intersection, cross drainage works etc.	
2.4	Methodology for laying of OFC	HDD



A. WA			
1	open trenching method , if yes methodology of refilling of		
2.4.1	trench trench	NA	
2.4.2	Horizontal Directional Drilling (HDD)Method	Yes	
	laying OFC through CD works and method of laying (whether to	163	
2.4.3	be hung outside parapet)	No	
3	Draft License agreement signed by two witness	Vos	
	g sometre signed by two witness	Yes	
	Performance bank Guarantee in favour of NHAI has to be		
	obtained @rs 50/- per running meter (parallal to NH) and		
	1,00,000/- per crossing of NH, for a period of one year initially (extendable if required till and six		
	(extendable if required till satisfactory completion of work ) as		
	asecurity for ensuring / making good the excavated trench for		
	laying OF cable/ducts by proper filling and compaction,		
	clearing debris/loose earth produced due to excution of		
	trenching atleast 50 m away from the edge of the right way . no		
	payment shall be payable by the nhai to the licensee for		
4	clearing debris/loose earth.		
	confirmation of BG has been obtained or not as per NHAI		
4.1	guidelines		
	Affidavet/Undertaking from the applicant for the following is to		
5	oc rumsned	Yes	
_	Not to damage to other utility, if damage then to pay the		
5.1	103363 either to NHAI or to the concerned agency	Yes	
5.2	Renewal of Bank Guarantee		
5.3	Confirming all standard condition of NHAI's guideline	Yes	
5.4	Similing of OFC as and when required by NHAL	Yes	
5.5	Istiliting due to 6 laning/widening of NH	Yes	
5.6	Indemnity against all damages and claims clause (v. t.)	Yes	
5 <b>7</b>	Traffic movement during laying of OFC to be managed by the	Yes	
5.7	Tappineditt	Yes	
5.8	If any claim is raised by the concessionaire then the same has		
3.6	to be paid by the applicant	Yes	
	Cortificate for Cl.		
	Certificate for 6 laning from the applicant in the following format-		
	liotiliat-		
	"we do undertakethat I will relocate service road/ approach	Voc	
	froddy diffiles at my own cost notwithstanding the	Yes	
5.9	Branced within such time as will be stipulated by Aurania		
6	Tractic six failing or any other development		
7	Power of attorney in favour of authorized signatory  Copy of DOT licence	Yes	
8	Certificate from the Desired II		
	Certificate from the Project director	yes	

AGM (Planning) O/o. PGM BA, BSNL, JHANSI

10			
8.1	Certificate for confiming of all standard condition issued vide Ministry circular no. RW/NH/33044/17/2000-S&R dated 29.9.2000 and NHAI's guidelines issued vide No. NHAI/OEC/2k/Vol-II dated 7.11.2000 and Ministry's circular No.RW/NH/33044/27/2000-S&R(r)dated 23.03.2006 certificate for 6 laning from PD in the following	Yes	
		NA	
	(a) Where feasibility is available "I do clearify that there will be no hindrance to proposed 6 laning based on the feasibility report considering proposed structures at the said locations" (b) In case feasibility report is not available "I do certify that sufficient ROW is available at site for	Yes	
	six laning"		
9	The agreement of fee of Rs. 1 shall be charged	Yes	$\dashv$
10	If NH section proposed to be taken up by NHAI on BOT basis-clause in para 17 to be inserted in the agreement. "the permitted highway on which licensee has been granted the right to lay cable/duct has also been granted as aright of way to the consessionaire under the concession agreement for upgradation of (Asaupura Village-Bansi km 71.96 to km 75.7 of NH no.27 build, operate and transfer basis) and therefore, the licensee shall honour the same."	Yes	
	Who will ensure that the defects in the road portion after	Bharat Sanchar Nigam Limited	$\neg$
12	laying of OFC are corrected and if not corrected then what action will be taken.	Bharat Sanchar Nigam Limited	
13	Who will pay the claims for damages done/disruption in working of concessionaire if asked by the concessionaire.	Bharat Sanchar Nigam Limited from performance Bank Guarantee	
14	A certificate from PD that he will enter the proposed permission in the register of records of the permission in the prescribed proforma (copy enclosed) issued vide Ministry circular No. RW/NH/33044/17/2000/S&R dated 23.7.2003	Yes	
15	If any previous approval is accorded for laying of cable then photocopy of register of records of permission accorded as maintained by PD (as per ministry circular no. RW/NH/33044/17/2000/S&R dated 23.7.2003) as referred in para 13 above enclosed or not.	NO	

AGM (Planning) Olo. PGM BA, BSNL, JHANSI

